# The relationship between student motivation and new media usage in teaching Organizational Behavior and HRM in a Central -East European country

Zsuzsanna Kispál-Vitai
University of Pécs
Faculty of Business and Economics
Department of Leadership and Organization

Cross-Cultural Business Conference 2018 May 17<sup>th</sup>-18<sup>th</sup>, 2018 University of Applied Sciences Upper Austria, School of Management, Campus Steyr



# The content of this presentation

- Introduction research justification
- Theoretical background
  - Motivation
  - Technology
- The relationship of motivation and information technology
- The "flipped classroom" experiment
- Findings and conclusions

#### Introduction

- The significance of motivation in learning
- The change in the motivational attitudes of students
- Technology in the classroom

Introduction

# Theoretical background - Motivation

Changes in higher\_education demographics

Changes in student needs

Should lead to changes in the approach of how lecturers motivate students

Introduction

Theoretical background



#### Theoretical background - Motivation 2

- Overview of chosen motivation theories
  - Behavioral
  - Humanistic
  - Social
  - Cognitive perspective
- Self-determination Theory in the Context of University Studies
  - Basic needs theory
  - Organismic integration theory
  - Goal contents theory
  - Cognitive evaluation theory
  - Causality orientation theory
  - Relationship motivation theory

Introduction

Theoretical background

# Theoretical background -Technology in education

- Teaching and learning always implied some aspect of technology
  - Merriam Webster: : "a manner of accomplishing a task especially using <u>technical</u> processes, methods, or knowledge."
  - Technology has positive effects on motivation that is on media usage for learning
  - Technology has negative effects on retention and test scores

# Motivation and technology

- "Digital natives?"
- The connection of needs and IT

Introduction

Theoretical background

Motivationtechnology link

# Our educational experiment

- Started in 2016 fall
- Participants:
  - Second year undergraduate students at UP FBE at the Business Degree Programs in English
  - Language of instruction is English
  - 2016 fall 57 students
  - 2017 spring 54 students
  - 2017 fall 67 students
  - 2018 spring 65 students
- Method: flipped classroom with IT enhancement



# The flipped classroom model - technically

- No lectures
- Short (or longer) videos are provided via the Internet to watch
- Textbooks and slideshows are also given
- In class there is what was previously a "homework" only practice and skill building

# Philosophy of the flipped model

- Learner centered model the traditional is teacher centered
- Primary method of instruction is NOT provided by the teacher
- Class activities are for deepening and enlarging the already obtained knowledge (via out of class preparation)
- The model claims that it moves over the responsibility for learning from the teacher to the student



#### Advantages and disadvantages of the model

#### For

- Students have more control
- It promotes studentcentered learning and collaboration
- Lessons and content are more accessible (provided there is tech access)
- Access = easier for lecturers to see what's going on
- It can be more efficient

#### Against

- It can create or exacerbate a digital divide
- It relies on preparation and trust
- There is significant work on the front-end
- Not naturally a test-prep form of learning
- Time in front of screensinstead of people and placesis increased:
  - \* that is: you speak to a machine instead of a human

Introduction Theoretical background

Motivationtechnology link

The "flipped classroom"

#### Method: Organizational behavior

- Only half flipped
  - They get the textbook and the slideshow and questions before class
  - They have to send in answers to the questions electronically before class
  - The class we discuss questions and deepen some knowledge
  - They get questions that they can or may answer
  - In tutorials we practice what they have heard in class



#### Method: HRM

- Totally flipped
  - Videos, textbook, slideshows all are provided electronically
  - Two classes
    - \* In one they have to present about predetermined concepts of HRM at 10 chosen companies
    - \* In the other only skill building and answering questions - if there are any



#### Findings

- Mixed!
- Student attitude was rather dismissive in both semesters
  - They did not like this approach neither the seminars nor the presentations and tutorials
  - They were not good at using their IT tools for finding professional information - it did not help!
  - Motivation to participate and to be active was very low
  - According to the Kirkpatrick model:
    - \* "Reaction" was more negative than positive 19 negative comments in HRM, in OB they did not offer meaningful comments
    - \* "Learning" no better than before with the classic approach a slightly left skewed bell curve

Introduction

Theoretical background

Motivationtechnology link

The "flipped classroom"

Findings and conclusion

# Findings 2

- In 2017 fall we introduced a totally computerized exam in OB
- Failure rate was the same as before: 18 from 68.
- Student satisfaction with the exam was overwhelming!

#### Conclusions

- Technology is inescapably present there is no choice, we have to use it in education
- ᠃ In what ways?
  - To enhance motivation?
  - To improve the "quality of life" in learning?
  - Is technology a retention enhancement tool?
    - \* Encoding specificity principle?
    - \* Context dependent memory?
- Technology is a possibility but the hard work of memorizing and retaining information cannot be spared.



# Thank you for your kind attention!

